

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,155 11/19/2001		11/19/2001	Jean Sini	19111.0059	8154
23517	7590	03/27/2006		EXAMINER	
	R BERLIN	· -	THAI, H	THAI, HANH B	
BOX IP	rreet, nw	/	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	GTON, DC	20007	2163		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/988,155	SINI, JEAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hanh B. Thai	2163	

		Hanh B. Thai	2163	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>13 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
ti p a	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
have be under 3 set forth may rec	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the same in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later fuce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
fi	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. (i (i (i	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, [a] They raise new issues that would require further cond) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or they present additional claims without canceling a conditional claims.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
5.	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	:		
7. 🛛 I h T C C	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: claim(s) allowed: claim(s) objected to: claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5, 10-12, 14, 19-21 and 23. claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
8. 🔲 T b	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a North date of the	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. 🔲 T e s	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed March 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (on pages 10-111): neither Steed nor Chinn discloses or suggests "creating a mapping for the form based on the information that is entered into the form from the user of the mobile device." Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Steed clearly discloses the mapping the fields of the forms ([0009] and [0023]) based on the merchant and user details information.

Steed clearly discloses the mapping the fields of the forms ([0009] and [0023]) based on the merchant and user details information. Furthermore, Chinn also discloses creating a mapping for a form by filling in form's fields by a user (summary and paragraph [0113], Chinn). Therefore, Steed or Chinn alone or in combination discloses the claimed limitation.

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER